

Date: Mon, 28 Mar 94 04:30:07 PST
From: Ham-Policy Mailing List and Newsgroup <ham-policy@ucsd.edu>
Errors-To: Ham-Policy-Errors@UCSD.Edu
Reply-To: Ham-Policy@UCSD.Edu
Precedence: Bulk
Subject: Ham-Policy Digest V94 #152
To: Ham-Policy

Ham-Policy Digest Mon, 28 Mar 94 Volume 94 : Issue 152

Today's Topics:

 Packet in Ontario?

Send Replies or notes for publication to: <Ham-Policy@UCSD.Edu>
Send subscription requests to: <Ham-Policy-REQUEST@UCSD.Edu>
Problems you can't solve otherwise to brian@ucsd.edu.

Archives of past issues of the Ham-Policy Digest are available
(by FTP only) from UCSD.Edu in directory "mailarchives/ham-policy".

We trust that readers are intelligent enough to realize that all text
herein consists of personal comments and does not represent the official
policies or positions of any party. Your mileage may vary. So there.

Date: Sun, 27 Mar 1994 06:08:30 GMT
From: netcomsv!netcom.com!ecarp@decwrl.dec.com
Subject: Packet in Ontario?
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

Thanks to all the folks who answered my enquiries a couple of months ago
regarding ham radio in Canada. now, I've got a new one for y'all - I'm
here in Canada now, and I'd like to operate packet. Which frequencies do
I use, and does anyone have a copy o f the appropriate regs? Are they
significantly different from the US laws? I don't want to wind up in a
Canadian pokey for stepping on someone's toes up here... Thanks!!

-- Ed, N7EKG/VE3, trying *not* to be the Ugly American :)

Date: 27 Mar 94 18:01:37 GMT
From: dog.ee.lbl.gov!agate!howland.reston.ans.net!noc.near.net!news.delphi.com!
usenet@ucbvax.berkeley.edu
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

References <2mii21\$h0v@ccnet.ccnet.com>, <p6z0gPq.edellers@delphi.com>,

<mp3fntINNk13@news.bbn.com>

Subject : Re: Coord. priority for open repeaters

Joel B Levin <levin@bbn.com> writes:

>The owners/operators/trustees of the closed repeater can deny you the
>use of their repeater by closing it down. This they do at the cost of
>denying its service to other users, and it's a tradeoff as to whether
>it's worth doing that to keep the unwanted user off.

>

>On the other hand, that's about all they can do, and here's why. No

So if I monitored the INPUTS of closed repeaters, measured their PLs with a counter, then proceeded to work through those machines, I'm legal as long as I don't cause interference? What if I monitored inputs of autopatch-equipped machines, decoded the DTMF codes being used and then proceeded to use the patch without permission?

Date: 27 Mar 94 17:58:17 GMT

From: agate!howland.reston.ans.net!newsserver.jvnc.net!yale.edu!noc.near.net!
news.delphi.com!usenet@ucbvax.berkeley.edu

To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

References <Cn1Jys.28z@news.Hawaii.Edu>, <22MAR199406565240@nssdca.gsfc.nasa.gov>,
<Cn5MDq.3Ht@news.Hawaii.Edu>

Subject : Re: Rich has flipped out (was: Morse Whiners)

Jeffrey Herman <jherman@uhunix3.uhcc.Hawaii.Edu> writes:

>Moral: Study the code and theory to get your HF access.

No, that is NOT the moral at all. The code test is an ARTIFICIAL requirement imposed by bureaucrats at the FCC; it has nothing to do with working to produce something of value to others in order to earn a reward from them.

Date: 28 Mar 94 01:22:57 GMT

From: olivea!pagesat.net!news.cerf.net!ccnet.com!ccnet.com!not-for-
mail@decwrl.dec.com

To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

References <p6z0gPq.edellers@delphi.com>, <mp3fntINNk13@news.bbn.com>,
<5i5Np6h.edellers@delphi.com>

Subject : Re: Coord. priority for open repeaters

Ed Ellers (edellers@delphi.com) wrote:

:

: So if I monitored the INPUTS of closed repeaters, measured their PLs with a
: counter, then proceeded to work through those machines, I'm legal as long as
: I don't cause interference? What if I monitored inputs of autopatch-equipped
: machines, decoded the DTMF codes being used and then proceeded to use the
: patch without permission?

Would you be willing to sign your amateur callsign when controlling the
the repeater? You did not sign one here ;)

Bob

--

Bob Wilkins	work	bwilkins@cave.org
Berkeley, California	home	rwilkins@ccnet.com
94701-0710	play	#nocal.ca.us.noam

End of Ham-Policy Digest V94 #152
